

A RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM FOR SKEW-ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

VIRGIL U. PIERCE

ABSTRACT. We find a local $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ Riemann-Hilbert problem characterizing the skew-orthogonal polynomials associated to the partition function of the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble of random matrices with a potential function of degree d . Our Riemann-Hilbert problem is similar to a local $d \times d$ Riemann-Hilbert problem found by Kuijlaars and McLaughlin characterizing the bi-orthogonal polynomials. This gives more motivation for finding methods to compute asymptotics of high order Riemann-Hilbert problems, and brings us closer to finding asymptotics of the skew-orthogonal polynomials.

Keywords: Skew-orthogonal polynomials; Riemann-Hilbert problems

1. INTRODUCTION

The partition function of random matrices for the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) is associated with a family of orthogonal polynomials $P_j(x)$ given by the conditions

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_j(x) P_k(x) e^{-NV(x)} dx = h_j \delta_{jk},$$

where $V(x)$ is an even degree polynomial with positive leading coefficient, and $P_j(x) = x^j + \mathcal{O}(x^{j-1})$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ [7, 15, 25]. Define the orthonormal polynomials $\hat{P}_j(x) = P_j(x)/h_j^{1/2}$. The asymptotic expansion of the classical families of orthogonal polynomials were computed by [30]. The orthonormal polynomials satisfy a three term recursion relation that, under changes in V , satisfies the Toda lattice hierarchy. They may be represented as Hankel determinants [27].

To compute the orthogonal polynomials numerically it is helpful to use the following result: Define the moments

$$m_i = \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^i e^{-NV(x)} dx.$$

Let M be the symmetric matrix $M_{ij} = m_{i+j}$. Then factor $M = QDR$ using the QR -algorithm. The columns of R^{-1} give the coefficients of the orthogonal polynomials.

One may show that the zeros of the orthogonal polynomials are real, interlace, and their distribution converges to a measure $\mu(V)$ which is given as the solution to a minimization problem [9].

The asymptotic expansions of P_N and P_{N-1} are computed by realizing them as the unique solutions of a 2×2 Riemann-Hilbert problem (see [9, 12, 13, 22]). These expansions were assembled and used to prove the existence of an asymptotic expansion of $\frac{1}{N\tau} \log(Z_N(V))$ for a cone of V , in [15].

Similar studies have been started regarding multi-matrix models and multi-orthogonal polynomials [8]. The simplest case is that of bi-orthogonal polynomials given by two families of polynomials $\{P_k(x)\}$ and $\{Q_k(y)\}$ satisfying

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} P_j(x) Q_k(y) \exp[-N(V(x) + W(y)) - 2N\tau xy] dx dy = h_j \delta_{jk},$$

where τ is a non-zero coupling constant, $V(x)$ and $W(y)$ are polynomials of even degree with positive leading coefficient, $P_j(x) = x^j + \mathcal{O}(x^{j-1})$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$, and $Q_k(y) = y^k + \mathcal{O}(y^{k-1})$ for $y \rightarrow \infty$ [14]. The bi-orthogonal polynomials satisfy a recursion relation that, under changes in V , satisfies the Full-Kostant Toda lattice hierarchy. One may compute them as determinants [14]. They may be characterized in terms of Riemann-Hilbert problems (see [6, 14, 20, 21, 33]).

This work was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0135308.

To compute the bi-orthogonal polynomials numerically it is helpful to use the following result: Let M be the matrix of moments

$$M_{ij} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} x^i y^j \exp[-N(V(x) + W(y)) - 2N\tau xy] dx dy.$$

Then factor $M = LDU$ using Gaussian elimination. The columns of U^{-1} give the coefficients of $Q_k(y)$ while the rows of L^{-1} give the coefficients of $P_j(x)$.

One may show that the zeros of the bi-orthogonal polynomials are real [14]. One finds numerically that they interlace and the suspicion is that their distributions converge to a pair of measures.

The bi-orthogonal polynomials are solutions of a non-local 2×2 Riemann-Hilbert problem (see [6, 14]). Recently a local $d \times d$ Riemann-Hilbert problem that determines the bi-orthogonal polynomials was found in the case when $\deg(W) = d$ by [21]. The advantage of this local problem is that there is reason to believe it is more amenable to asymptotic analysis, see [23]. Another high order Riemann-Hilbert problem characterizing the bi-orthogonal polynomials has been found by [5].

Define the skew-inner products

$$(1.2) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_1 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)g(y)\epsilon(x-y)e^{-NV(x)-NV(y)} dx dy,$$

where

$$\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} -1 & : x < 0 \\ 1 & : x > 0 \end{cases};$$

$$(1.3) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_4 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [f(x)g'(x) - f'(x)g(x)] e^{-NV(x)} dx.$$

The partition functions of random matrices for the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE) ($\beta = 1$) or Gaussian Symplectic Ensemble (GSE) ($\beta = 4$) are associated with skew-orthogonal polynomials $\{p_j(x)\}$ given by the conditions

$$(1.4) \quad \langle p_{2k}(x), p_{2j}(y) \rangle_{\beta} = 0$$

$$(1.5) \quad \langle p_{2k}(x), p_{2j+1}(y) \rangle_{\beta} = h_j \delta_{kj}$$

$$(1.6) \quad \langle p_{2k+1}(x), p_{2j}(y) \rangle_{\beta} = -h_j \delta_{kj}$$

$$(1.7) \quad \langle p_{2k+1}(x), p_{2j+1}(y) \rangle_{\beta} = 0,$$

where $p_{2j}(x) = x^{2j} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2j-1})$, and $p_{2j+1}(x) = x^{2j+1} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2j-1})$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ (see [2, 10, 11, 17, 19, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34]). There is an ambiguity in conditions (1.4)-(1.7) in the sense that $\hat{p}_{2j+1} = p_{2j+1} + ap_{2j}$ may properly be called a skew-orthogonal polynomial of degree $2j+1$ for any a . We define the $2j+1$ skew-orthogonal polynomial to be the one with a zero coefficient of x^{2j} . Define the skew-orthonormal polynomials to be $\hat{p}_{2j}(x) = p_{2j}(x)/\langle p_{2j}, p_{2j+1} \rangle_{\beta}^{1/2}$, and $\hat{p}_{2j+1}(x) = p_{2j+1}(x)/\langle p_{2j}, p_{2j+1} \rangle_{\beta}^{1/2}$.

The skew-orthonormal polynomials satisfy a recursion relation that, under changes in V , satisfies the Pfaff lattice hierarchy. For example if $V = V_0(x) + t_j x^j$ then it can be shown that the recursion relation is of the form

$$x\vec{p}(x) = L\vec{p}(x),$$

where $\vec{p}(x) = (\hat{p}_0(x), \hat{p}_1(x), \hat{p}_2(x), \dots)^T$ and

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & & \\ b_1 & d_1 & a_1 & 0 & & \\ c_1 & e_1 & -d_1 & 1 & & \\ f_1 & c_2 & b_2 & d_2 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let

$$(1.8) \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then L satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{dL}{dt_j} = -[\pi_k(L^j), L],$$

where

$$\pi_k(M) = M_- - JM_+^T J + \frac{1}{2}(M_0 - JM_0^T J),$$

and $M_\pm$ is projection onto the upper (resp. lower) 2×2 block triangular parts of M and M_0 is projection onto the diagonal 2×2 blocks of M [2, 3, 4].

Define the Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric matrix M by

$$\det(M) = [\text{pf}(M)]^2.$$

Define the skew-symmetric matrix of moments

$$M_{ij} = \langle x^i, y^j \rangle_\beta.$$

Then one finds Pfaffian formulas for the skew-orthogonal polynomials (see [2, 3, 4]):

$$p_{2j}(x) = \text{pf} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_{01} & M_{02} & \dots & M_{02j} & 1 \\ -M_{01} & 0 & M_{12} & \dots & M_{12j} & x \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ -M_{02j} & -M_{12j} & -M_{22j} & \dots & 0 & x^{2j} \\ -1 & -x & -x^2 & \dots & -x^{2j} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

and

$$p_{2j+1}(x) = \text{pf} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & M_{01} & M_{02} & \dots & 1 & M_{02j+1} \\ -M_{01} & 0 & M_{12} & \dots & x & M_{12j+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ -1 & -x & -x^2 & \dots & 0 & x^{2j+1} \\ -M_{02j+1} & -M_{12j+1} & -M_{22j+1} & \dots & -x^{2j+1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

To compute the skew-orthogonal polynomials numerically it is helpful to use the following representation. There is a generalized Gaussian elimination algorithm which factors the skew-symmetric matrix M as

$$M = LD^{1/2}JD^{1/2}L^T,$$

where L is lower 2×2 block triangular matrix with the 2×2 block identity on the diagonal, J is given in (1.8), and D is a diagonal matrix with multiples of the 2×2 block identity on the diagonal. The rows of L^{-1} give the coefficients of the skew-orthogonal polynomials. Numerical computation of skew-orthogonal polynomials with $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + tx^4$ lead to the conjecture that the zeros of the even polynomials are real, interlace, and their distribution converges to some measure; and that the zeros of the odd polynomials are real, interlace, and their distribution converges to some measure.

The leading order of the asymptotics of the skew-orthogonal polynomials have been computed by [16]. In section 2 we will compute a local $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ Riemann-Hilbert problem, where $\deg(V) = d$ (similar to that of [21]) for the skew-orthogonal polynomials with respect to the skew-inner product (1.2). This Riemann-Hilbert problem may be tractable, at least in the sense that the bi-orthogonal one is, and allow one to rigorously compute the asymptotic expansion of the skew-orthogonal polynomials. The problem we find determines p_{2k} uniquely but only finds p_{2k+1} up to a multiple of p_{2k} . An equivalent Riemann-Hilbert problem for the skew-orthogonal polynomials with respect to the skew-inner product (1.3) has not been found.

2. RIEMANN-HILBERT PROBLEM

We will now work with the inner product (1.2) however outside of the random matrix context we may use the simpler expression

$$(2.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_1 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x)g(y)\epsilon(x-y)e^{-V(x)-V(y)}dxdy,$$

with no loss of generality. Assume that V is a polynomial of degree d .

Define

$$w_j(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y^j \epsilon(x-y)e^{-V(x)-V(y)}dy$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}(x) = e^{-2V(x)}.$$

Let

$$\langle f, g \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)g(x)\mathcal{W}(x)dx.$$

The skew inner product (2.1) is non-degenerate in the sense that the matrix $M_{ij} = \langle x^i, y^j \rangle_1$ is skew diagonalizable; that is it can be written as

$$M = LJL^T$$

where L is lower 2×2 block triangular with non-zero multiples of the 2×2 identity matrix on the diagonal, and J is given by (1.8). An equivalent condition is that the principle $2n \times 2n$ minors of M are non-singular. The Pfaffian of these principle minors is proportional to a multi-integral of a positive function, hence is non-zero. This non-degeneracy gives the existence and uniqueness of the skew-orthogonal polynomials.

The family of skew-orthogonal polynomials with respect to (2.1) is characterized by the conditions: $\langle p_{2k}(x), y^j \rangle_1 = 0$ and $\langle p_{2k+1}(x), y^j \rangle_1 = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq 2k-1$, $p_{2k}(x) = x^{2k} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2k-1})$, and $p_{2k+1}(x) = x^{2k+1} + \mathcal{O}(x^{2k-1})$.

Define

$$\pi_{j+d-1}(y) = \frac{d}{dy} \left(y^j e^{-V(y)} \right) e^{V(y)}.$$

This function is a polynomial of degree $j+d-1$ in y . The fundamental theorem of calculus and the definition of $\epsilon(x-y)$ implies that

$$(2.2) \quad \langle f(x), \pi_{j+d-1}(y) \rangle_1 = 2 \langle f(x), x^j \rangle_2.$$

Therefore we find that the $2k$ orthogonality conditions on $p_{2k}(x)$ become: the $d-1$ conditions

$$(2.3) \quad \langle p_{2k}(x), y^j \rangle_1 = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq d-2$$

together with the $2k-d+1$ conditions

$$(2.4) \quad \langle p_{2k}(x), x^j \rangle_2 = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k-d.$$

For $p_{2k+1}(x)$ we find the same conditions (with the same ranges on j).

Consider a pair of Riemann-Hilbert problems: Find a $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix valued function $Y(z)$ satisfying:

1. Y is analytic on $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}$.
2. Y has boundary values

$$Y_{\pm}(x) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{\pm}} Y(x + i\epsilon)$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}$

3. The boundary values of Y satisfy the matrix equation

$$(2.5) \quad Y_+ = Y_- M$$

where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{W}(x) & w_0(x) & \dots & w_{d-2}(x) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \dots & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

4. (a) In the Even problem Y satisfies the asymptotic boundary value

$$(2.6) \quad Y(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \begin{pmatrix} z^{2k} & & & & \\ & z^{-2k+d-1} & & & \\ & & z^{-1} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

(b) In the Odd problem Y satisfies the asymptotic boundary value

$$(2.7) \quad Y(z) = (I + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})) \begin{pmatrix} z^{2k+1} & & & & \\ & z^{-2k+d-1} & & & \\ & & z^{-1} & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & z^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$.

Let

$$C(f)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(x)}{x - z} dx$$

be the Cauchy Transform of $f(x)$.

We find two theorems:

Theorem 2.1 (Even). *The Riemann-Hilbert Problem above with asymptotic condition (2.6) has a unique solution given by the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix*

$$Y_{2k}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{2k} & C(p_{2k}\mathcal{W}) & C(p_{2k}w_0) & \dots & C(p_{2k}w_{d-2}) \\ p_{2k-1}^{(0)} & \dots & & \dots & \\ p_{2k-1}^{(1)} & \ddots & & \dots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ p_{2k-1}^{(d-1)} & \dots & & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

where $p_{2k-1}^{(0)} = \alpha_k p_{2k-2}$ and $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$, $m > 0$ are polynomials of degree at most $2k-1$ which will be specified in the proof. The other entries of $Y_{2k}(z)$ are Cauchy transforms of $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}\mathcal{W}$, and $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}w_n$, $0 \leq n < d-1$.

Theorem 2.2 (Odd). *The Riemann-Hilbert Problem above with asymptotic condition (2.7) has general solution given by the $(d+1) \times (d+1)$ matrix*

$$Y_{2k+1}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{p}_{2k+1} & C(\tilde{p}_{2k+1}\mathcal{W}) & C(\tilde{p}_{2k+1}w_0) & \dots & C(\tilde{p}_{2k+1}w_{d-2}) \\ p_{2k}^{(0)} & \dots & & \dots & \\ p_{2k}^{(1)} & \ddots & & \dots & \\ \vdots & \ddots & & & \\ p_{2k}^{(d-1)} & \dots & & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\tilde{p}_{2k+1} = p_{2k+1} + a_k p_{2k}$, $p_{2k}^{(0)} = b_{k,0} p_{2k} + c_{k,0} p_{2k-2}$, and $p_{2k}^{(m)} = b_{k,m} p_{2k} + c_{k,m} p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$. The other entries of $Y_{2k+1}(z)$ are Cauchy transforms of $p_{2k}^{(m)}\mathcal{W}$, and $p_{2k}^{(m)}w_n$, $0 \leq n < d-1$. In particular the general solution is determined up to a multiple of p_{2k} in each row.

3. PROOF OF THEOREMS 2.1 AND 2.2

Uniqueness of the solution in theorem 2.1 follows in the standard way, by checking that both the jump condition (2.5) and the asymptotic condition (2.6) have determinant one.

To prove that Y_{2k} is a solution of the Riemann-Hilbert problem with asymptotic condition (2.6), one checks that:

- Y_{11} must be an analytic function of degree $2k$, hence a polynomial of degree $2k$.
- Y_{12} satisfies the jump condition

$$Y_{12,+} = Y_{11}\mathcal{W}(x) + Y_{12,-}$$

across $\mathbb{R}$. The Plemelj formula [1] implies that

$$Y_{12}(z) = C(Y_{11}\mathcal{W})(z).$$

For this function to have the asymptotics

$$Y_{12}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2k+d-2})$$

the $2k - d + 1$ conditions in (2.4) must be satisfied.

- $Y_{1(j+3)}$ (for $0 < j < d - 2$) satisfies the jump condition

$$Y_{1(j+3),+} = Y_{11}w_j(x) + Y_{1(j+3),-}$$

across $\mathbb{R}$. The Plemelj formula implies that

$$Y_{1(j+3)}(z) = C(Y_{11}w_j)(z).$$

For this function to have the asymptotics

$$Y_{1(j+3)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2})$$

the condition (2.3) must be satisfied.

Hence $Y_{11}(z)$ is the polynomial $p_{2k}(z)$ satisfying conditions (2.3) and (2.4).

3.1. Existence of the $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$. The same arguments as above show that the lower rows of $Y_{2k}(z)$ are of the form

$$(3.1) \quad \left(p_{2k-1}^{(m)}, C(p_{2k-1}^{(m)}\mathcal{W}), C(p_{2k-1}^{(m)}w_0), \dots, C(p_{2k-1}^{(m)}w_{d-2}) \right).$$

Consider the second row: The asymptotic conditions on $Y_{2k}(z)$ imply that $p_{2k-1}^{(0)}$ satisfies the following conditions as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$(3.2) \quad p_{2k-1}^{(0)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{2k-1}),$$

$$(3.3) \quad C(p_{2k-1}^{(0)}\mathcal{W}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \langle p_{2k-1}^{(0)}, x^j \rangle_2 = z^{-2k+d-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2k+d-2}),$$

$$(3.4) \quad C(p_{2k-1}^{(0)}w_n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{2k-1}^{(0)}w_n(x)x^j dx = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}), \quad 0 \leq n \leq d-2.$$

Condition (3.2) forces $p_{2k-1}^{(0)}$ to be a polynomial with degree at most $2k-1$. Condition (3.3) is equivalent to

$$(3.5) \quad \langle p_{2k-1}^{(0)}, x^j \rangle_2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle p_{2k-1}^{(0)}(x), \pi_{j+d-1}(y) \rangle_1 = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k-d-1.$$

The first $2k-d-1$ equations in (3.5) together with the $d-1$ conditions (3.4) imply that

$$p_{2k-1}^{(0)} = a_{2,0}^{(2k-1)} p_{2k-1} + a_{1,0}^{(2k-1)} p_{2k-2}.$$

The case $j = 2k-d-1$ in (3.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle p_{2k-1}^{(0)}(x), \pi_{2k-2}(y) \rangle_1 \\ &= a_{2,0}^{(2k-1)} \langle p_{2k-1}(x), \pi_{2k-2}(y) \rangle_1 + a_{1,0}^{(2k-1)} \langle p_{2k-2}(x), \pi_{2k-2}(y) \rangle_1 \\ &= a_{2,0}^{(2k-1)} \langle p_{2k-1}(x), y^{2k-2} \rangle_1, \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude that $a_{2,0}^{(2k-1)} = 0$. Finally one uses the leading order of (3.3) to find that

$$a_{1,0}^{(2k-1)} = -4\pi i \langle p_{2k-2}, y^{2k-1} \rangle_1^{-1}.$$

The non-degeneracy of the skew-inner product (2.1) guarantees that $\langle p_{2k-2}, y^{2k-1} \rangle_1 \neq 0$.

Consider the other rows: The asymptotic conditions on $Y_{2k}(z)$ imply that $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$, $m > 0$ satisfies the following conditions as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$(3.6) \quad p_{2k-1}^{(m)}(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{2k-1}),$$

$$(3.7) \quad C(p_{2k-1}^{(m)} \mathcal{W}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \langle p_{2k-1}^{(m)}, x^j \rangle_2 = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2k+d-2}),$$

$$(3.8) \quad C(p_{2k-1}^{(m)} w_n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{2k-1}^{(m)} w_n(x) x^j dx = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) & m \neq n \\ z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) & m = n \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq d-2.$$

Condition (3.6) forces $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$ to be a polynomial with degree at most $2k-1$. Condition (3.7) is equivalent to

$$(3.9) \quad \langle p_{2k-1}^{(m)}, x^j \rangle_2 = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k-d.$$

The $2k-d+1$ conditions from (3.9) and the $d-2$ conditions from (3.8) when $m \neq n$ determine the $2k-1$ free variables in $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$ by solving a homogeneous linear problem. One only need check that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{2k-1}^{(m)} w_m(x) dx \neq 0.$$

Suppose that this integral is zero, then $\hat{p}_{2k} = p_{2k} + p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$ satisfies the same orthogonality conditions as the skew-orthogonal polynomial p_{2k} , which contradicts the uniqueness of p_{2k} . This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

In fact we can say more: using (3.7) we see that we could write the $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$ in terms of $d-1$ of the orthogonal polynomials with respect to $\mathcal{W}(x)$ given by (1.1).

3.2. The proof of Theorem 2.2. For the odd Riemann-Hilbert problem we note that the asymptotic condition (2.7) has determinant z . So solutions will only be unique up to possibly $d+1$ many degrees of freedom.

The proof that the first row of Y_{2k+1} has the form

$$(\tilde{p}_{2k+1}(x), C(\tilde{p}_{2k+1} \mathcal{W}), C(\tilde{p}_{2k+1} w_0), \dots, C(\tilde{p}_{2k+1} w_{d-2})),$$

follows as above. The asymptotics of the first row of Y_{2k} fit within those of Y_{2k+1} so we only get the first row of Y_{2k+1} up to a multiple of the first row of Y_{2k} . Therefore we may write $\tilde{p}_{2k+1} = p_{2k+1} + a_k p_{2k}$.

The lower rows of Y_{2k+1} have the form

$$(p_{2k}^{(m)}, C(p_{2k}^{(m)} \mathcal{W}), C(p_{2k}^{(m)} w_0), \dots, C(p_{2k}^{(m)} w_{d-2})).$$

We will find that the first entry of each row is only determined up to a multiple of p_{2k} , as the orthogonality conditions on p_{2k} fit within those of $p_{2k}^{(m)}$. This gives $(d+1)$ free parameters in the general solution to the Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Consider the second row: The asymptotic conditions on $Y_{2k+1}(z)$ imply that $p_{2k}^{(0)}$ satisfies the following conditions as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$(3.10) \quad p_{2k}^{(0)} = \mathcal{O}(z^{2k}),$$

$$(3.11) \quad C(p_{2k}^{(0)} \mathcal{W}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \langle p_{2k}^{(0)}, x^j \rangle_2 = z^{-2k+d-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2k+d-2}),$$

$$(3.12) \quad C(p_{2k}^{(0)} w_n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{2k}^{(0)} w_n(x) x^j dx = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}), \quad 0 \leq n \leq d-2.$$

Condition (3.10) forces $p_{2k}^{(0)}$ to be a polynomial with degree at most $2k$. Condition (3.11) is equivalent to

$$(3.13) \quad \langle p_{2k}^{(0)}, x^j \rangle_2 = \frac{1}{2} \langle p_{2k}^{(0)}(x), \pi_{j+d-1}(y) \rangle_1 = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k-d-1.$$

The first $2k - d - 1$ equations in (3.13) together with the $d - 1$ conditions (3.12) imply that

$$p_{2k}^{(0)} = b_{k,0}p_{2k} + d_{k,0}p_{2k-1} + c_{k,0}p_{2k-2}.$$

The case $j = 2k - d - 1$ in (3.13) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle p_{2k}^{(0)}(x), \pi_{2k-2}(y) \rangle_1 \\ &= b_{k,0} \langle p_{2k}, \pi_{2k-2}(y) \rangle_1 + d_{k,0} \langle p_{2k-1}, \pi_{2k-2}(y) \rangle_1 + c_{k,0} \langle p_{2k-2}, \pi_{2k-2}(y) \rangle_1 \\ &= d_{k,0} \langle p_{2k-1}, y^{2k-2} \rangle_1, \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude that $d_{k,0} = 0$. Finally one uses the leading order of (3.11) to choose $c_{k,0}$ so that

$$c_{k,0} \langle p_{2k-2}, y^{2k-1} \rangle_1 = -4\pi i.$$

Non-degeneracy of the inner product (2.1) guarantees that this can be done. The $b_{k,0}$ remains as a free parameter.

Consider the other rows of Y_{2k+1} : The asymptotic conditions on $Y_{2k+1}(z)$ imply that $p_{2k}^{(m)}$, $m > 0$ satisfy the following conditions as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$(3.14) \quad p_{2k}^{(m)} = \mathcal{O}(z^{2k}),$$

$$(3.15) \quad C(p_{2k}^{(m)} \mathcal{W}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \langle p_{2k}^{(m)}, x^j \rangle_2 = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2k+d-2}),$$

$$(3.16) \quad C(p_{2k}^{(m)} w_n) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{2k}^{(m)} w_n(x) x^j dx = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) & m \neq n \\ z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2}) & m = n \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq d-2$$

Condition (3.14) forces $p_{2k}^{(m)}$ to be a polynomial with degree at most $2k$. The conditions (3.14-3.16) are satisfied by $p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$, which fixes $2k - 1$ of the free variables in $p_{2k}^{(m)}$. The orthogonality conditions satisfied by p_{2k} fit within those of $p_{2k}^{(m)}$, hence we find that $p_{2k}^{(m)} = b_{k,m}p_{2k} + c_{k,m}p_{2k-1}^{(m)}$ with $c_{k,m}$ determined by (3.16) with $n = m$, and $b_{k,m}$ a free parameter. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.2.

4. CONCLUSION

Both the bi-orthogonal and skew-orthogonal polynomials naturally split into a pair of families: the bi-orthogonal polynomials from their definition, the skew-orthogonal polynomials into the families of even and odd degree polynomials. Numerical computations show that in both cases the distribution of zeros of the two families appear to converge to separate (yet mutually dependent) measures.

The bi-orthogonal and skew-orthogonal polynomials are interesting generalizations of the classical theory of orthogonal polynomials. They appear in the generalizations of random matrix theory from the well studied GUE case. So far little is understood about the necessary asymptotics for both types. We have formulated a Riemann-Hilbert problem for the skew-orthogonal polynomials in the GOE case. The structure of this Riemann-Hilbert problem is close to that of [21] for the bi-orthogonal polynomials. An obvious problem with our formulation is that p_{2k+1} is not uniquely determined. Experience with skew-orthogonal polynomials and the Pfaff lattice hierarchy shows that this is a standard ambiguity complicating the problems.

The corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem for the skew-orthogonal polynomials associated to the GSE model has not been found. The equivalent to formula (2.2) does not line up with the Cauchy transform as nicely as in the $\beta = 1$ case.

Our conclusions are: High order Riemann-Hilbert problems warrant further investigation with the goal of finding methods to compute their asymptotics. In all studies of bi-orthogonal polynomials one should consider simultaneously the equivalent result for skew-orthogonal polynomials. One suspects that there is a connection between these two types of polynomials.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank R. Buckingham and K.T.-R. McLaughlin for many useful discussions and their comments and corrections.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz, A. S. Fokas, *Complex variables: introduction and applications*, Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003).
- [2] M. Adler, P. J. Forrester, T. Nagao, and P. van Moerbeke, *Classical skew orthogonal polynomials and random matrices*. J. Statistical Phys. **99** 1. (2000) 141-170.
- [3] M. Adler, E. Horozov, and P. van Moerbeke, *The Pfaff lattice and skew-orthogonal polynomials*. IMRN. **11** (1999) 569-588.
- [4] M. Adler, P. van Moerbeke, *Toda Versus Pfaff Lattice and Related Polynomials*. Duke Math. J. **112**, 1, (2002) 1-58.
- [5] M. Bertola, *Biorthogonal polynomials for 2-matrix models with semiclassical potentials*, ArXiv.nlin.SI/0605008 (2006).
- [6] M. Bertola, B. Eynard, and J. Harnard, *Differential systems for biorthogonal polynomials appearing in 2-matrix models and the associated Riemann-Hilbert problem*. Comm. Math. Phys. **243** (2003) 193-240.
- [7] D. Bessis, X. Itzykson, and J.B. Zuber. Quantum Field Theory Techniques in Graphical Enumeration. Adv. Appl. Math. **1** (1980) 109-157.
- [8] P. M. Bleher and A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Random matrices with external source and multiple orthogonal polynomials*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices **2004** (2004) 109-129
- [9] P. Deift, *Orthogonal polynomials and random matrices: a Riemann-Hilbert Approach*. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **3**, New York University, New York (1999).
- [10] P. Deift and D. Gioev, *Universality in random matrix theory for orthogonal and symplectic ensembles*, arXiv:math-ph/0411075
- [11] P. Deift and D. Gioev, *Universality at the edge of the spectrum for unitary, orthogonal and symplectic ensembles of random matrices*, arXiv:math-ph/0507023
- [12] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K.T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, *Uniform asymptotics for polynomial orthogonal with respect to varying exponential weights and applications to universality questions in random matrix theory*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (1999) 1335-1425.
- [13] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, S. Venakides, and X. Zhou, *Strong asymptotics of orthogonal polynomials with respect to exponential weights*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **52** (1999) 1491-1552.
- [14] N. M. Ercolani and K. T.-R. McLaughlin, *Asymptotics and integrable structures for biorthogonal polynomials associated to a random two-matrix model*. Physica D. **152-153** (2001) 232-268.
- [15] N.M. Ercolani and K. D. T-R McLaughlin. Asymptotics of the partition function for random matrices via Riemann-Hilbert techniques, and applications to graphical enumeration. *Internat. Math. Research Notices* **14**, 755-820 (2003).
- [16] B. Eynard, *Asymptotics of skew orthogonal polynomials*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** (2001) 7591-7605.
- [17] S. Ghosh, *Generalized Christoffel-Darboux formula for skew-orthogonal polynomials and random matrix theory*. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **39** (2006) 8775-8782.
- [18] S. Ghosh, *Skew-orthogonal polynomials, differential systems and random matrix theory*. arXiv:math-ph/0607007
- [19] S. Ghosh and A. Pandey, *Skew-orthogonal polynomials and random-matrix ensembles*. Physical Review E. **65** 046221 (2002) 1-21.
- [20] A. A. Kapaev, *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for the bi-orthogonal polynomials*. J. Phys. A. **36** (2003) 4629-4640.
- [21] A.B.J. Kuijlaars and K.T.-R. McLaughlin, *A Riemann-Hilbert problem for biorthogonal polynomials*. J. of Comp. and Applied Math. **178** (2005) 313-320.
- [22] A. B. J. Kuijlaars, K.T.-R. McLaughlin, W. van Assche, and M. Vanlessen, *The Riemann-Hilbert approach to strong asymptotics for orthogonal polynomials on $[-1, 1]$* , Adv. Math. **188** (2004) 337-398.
- [23] K. T.-R. McLaughlin, *Asymptotic analysis of random matrices with external source and a family of algebraic curves*. arXiv:math-ph/0610050, (2006).
- [24] M. L. Mehta, *A method of integration over matrix variables*. Comm. Math. Phys. **79** (1981) 327-340.
- [25] M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, Boston, 1991
- [26] T. Nagao and P. J. Forrester, *Transitive ensembles of random matrices related to orthogonal polynomials*, Nucl. Phys. B. **530** (1998) 742-762.
- [27] A. Robert, *Systèmes de polynômes*, Queen's Papers Pure Appl. Math., **35** (1973).
- [28] A. Stojanovic, *Universality in orthogonal and symplectic invariant matrix models with quartic potential*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **3** (2000) 339-373 .
- [29] A. Stojanovic, *Universality in orthogonal and symplectic invariant matrix models with quartic potential*, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **7** (2004) 347-349 (erratum).
- [30] G. Szego, *Orthogonal Polynomials*. AMS, Providence RI, (1939).
- [31] C. Tracy and H. Widom, *Matrix Kernels for the Gaussian orthogonal and symplectic ensembles*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **55** (2005) 2197-21207.
- [32] C. Tracy and H. Widom, *On orthogonal and symplectic matrix ensembles*, Commun. Math. Phys. **177** 727-754.
- [33] W. van Assche, J. Geronimo, and A. B. J. Kuijlaars, *Riemann-Hilbert problems for multiple orthogonal polynomials*, in J. Bustoz et al. (Eds.) NATO ASI Special Functions Current Perspective and Future Directions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (2001), 23-59.
- [34] H. Widom, *On the relation between orthogonal, symplectic and unitary matrix ensembles*, J. Stat. Phys. **94** 347-363.

DEPT. OF MATH, THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
 E-mail address: vpierce@math.ohio-state.edu